

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/587,182	Applicant(s) MARTIN ET AL.	
	Examiner Geoffrey L. Knable	Art Unit 1791	

All Participants:

(1) Geoffrey L. Knable.

(2) Alan Kopecki.

Date of Interview: 10 May 2010

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

19

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Geoffrey L. Knable/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Agreement was reached on changing "rotor" in claim 19 to "rotary conveying member" to avoid an antecedent basis ambiguity - see the attached examiner's amendment. Applicant's representative also authorized a second month extension and authorized a charge of \$360 (=\$490 - \$130 already paid for 1 mos extension) to deposit account no. 02-4800 in order to make this amendment.